· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/840,403	BOOTHBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean R. Homere	2177
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jean R. Homere</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roger Lee.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.  Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(e) discussed.		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  IDS, paper no 23		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Jean R Honere		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner unsuccessfully attempted to contact the Applicant's representative to inform him that copies of the references cited in the IDS were not actually provided. Consequently, the Examiner left a couple of messages on the representative's voicemail. However, no timely response regarding the missing references was provided in response to the Examiner's inquiry.